

Alkaline hydrolysis ('water cremation') regulation in Scotland – A Scottish Government Consultation

Overview

General Comments

Detailed Response

Specific Comments

1	Do you think that the Scottish Government should introduce regulations to allow the use of alkaline hydrolysis as a method of disposal of human remains in Scotland? Please also provide the reason for your answer.
<u> </u>	(see paras 3 – 7)
No viev	v/ comment
Comme	ents:
2	Have the sustainability claims made by manufacturers influenced your response to Question 1? Please provide details. (see para 11)
Nexio	v/ comment
INO VIEV	v/ comment
Comme	ents:
3	Assuming that alkaline hydrolysis is to be allowed, should it be regulated in a similar manner as cremation?
	(cooperp 22, 22)
Novio	(see para 22 – 23) v/ comment
Comme	ents:
MCL 3000	Version: C Page 1 of 5



4	Do you agree that the same procedure as applies to opening new crematoria should apply to opening alkaline hydrolysis premises?
Nasia	(see para 29)
No viev	<i>w</i> / comment
Comme	ents:
	Should alkaline hydrolysis operators be subject to inspection
5	in the same way as cremation authorities?
	(see para 32)
No viev	<i>n</i> / comment
Comme	ents:
	What information do you think should be included in a
6	management plan by a provider of alkaline hydrolysis?
Ŭ	
Novio	(see para 33 – 37) // comment
	W comment
Comme	ents:
	Do you agree that there should be statutory application forms
7	similar to the cremation application forms?
	(see para 39)
No viev	<i>N</i> / comment
Comme	ents:
	Do you have any views on the provision of alkaline hydrolysis for children under age 4 and for stillborn babies (even though no
8	ashes are likely to result)?
Ũ	
	(see para 42 – 44)
No viev	N/ comment
Comme	onte
Comme	
9	Do you have any comments on extending the requirement for a Form E1 (as applies when the body is released for cremation by COPFS) to alkaline hydrolysis?
	(see para 45)
MCL 3000	



No viev	w/ comment	
Comm	ents:	
10	Do you agree that operators of alkaline hydrolysis (and directors) should be required to comply with th requirements and timescales for handling of the remains are for ashes following cremation?	e same
<u></u>	(see para 47 – 48)	
No viev	w/ comment	
Comm	ents:	
	Do you agree that the content of the statutory cremation for bodies should be replicated for alkaline hydrolysis?	register
11	Please add any comments on what you think should be different and why.	
	(see para 49)	
No viev	w/ comment	
Comm	ents:	
	Do you agree that the content of the statutory cremation register for body parts should be replicated for alkaline hydrolysis?	n
12	Please add any comments on what you think should be different and why.	
	(see para 49)	
No viev Comm	w/ comment ents:	
	Do you gave that the content of the statutery even ation	regioter
	Do you agree that the content of the statutory cremation for stillborn babies should be replicated for alkaline hyd	
13	Please add any comments on what you think should be different and why.	
	(see para 50 – 51)	
No viev	w/ comment	
Comm	ents:	
MCL 3000		Page 3 of 5



14	Section 87 of the 2016 Act provides that where a peris found dead within a local authority area, and no are being made for them to be buried or cremate authority must make the arrangements. In these cat the local authority be able to use alkaline hydrolysic available? Please provide reasons for your answer.	rangements ed, the local ases, should		
	(see para 52)			
No view	v/ comment			
Comme	ents:			
15	As noted in the consultation, supporters of alkaline have claimed that the liquid could be considered for disposal, such as fertilizer. If relevant consents are you have any views on whether this should be an o	sustainable obtained, do		
	(see para 53 – 60)			
No view	v/ comment			
Comme	ents:			
16	Do you have any other comments on the regulation alkaline hydrolysis which you wish to share?	and use of		
	(see para 53 – 60)			
Scottish Water would like to comment on two areas that are relevant to us.				
In terms of trade effluent, Scottish Water may grant consent allowing the waste liquid from alkaline hydrolysis to be discharged into the public foul sewer network as a trade effluent under Part II of the Sewerage (Scotland) Act 1968, where capacity exists and subject to standard technical assessments and controls applied to all proposed new discharges of trade effluent.				
Scottish Water has the right to impose conditions on the discharge of the waste liquid and to refuse to grant consent of a proposed discharge of the waste liquid into the public sewer, for example where capacity did not exist.				
refused hydroly	ering consent from Scottish Water will be subject to condition I, Scottish Water encourages those promoting the introduction rsis process to consider other sustainable ways of recovering ste liquid, as alternatives to discharging to the public foul seve	of the alkaline or disposing of		
MCL 3000	Version: C	Page 4 of 5		



In terms of Water Byelaws under the requirements of Water Byelaw 5 of The Water Supply (Water Fittings) (Scotland) Byelaws 2014, anyone who is installing or altering a water supply for non-domestic purposes, has a legal obligation to notify Scottish Water before carrying out the work. Advanced notification is critical, as certain plumbing arrangements if not installed correctly are at risk of causing contamination, with the potential to impact public health. It is an offence under the Water Byelaws to install this type of machine or process to a water supply without prior consent.

Where an alkaline hydrolysis (water cremation) machine or process is connected to a water supply, there must be backflow protection to a Fluid Category 5 level, within the process or upstream. This is to safeguard and protect the water supply to the building and the wider water mains network. Fluid Category 5 is the highest fluid risk on the scale contained within the Water Byelaws.

There is no mention of the requirements to comply with the Water Byelaws within the Hydrolysis regulation. If the requirements of the Water Byelaws was included, this would help to ensure these machines are installed legally and mitigate risk to public health.

- End of Document -

MCL 3000

Version: C